

Decree issued by the State Secretary for Education, Culture and Science, F. van der Ploeg, establishing a committee to advise the government on the restitution of items of cultural value of which the original owners involuntarily lost possession due to circumstances directly related to the Nazi regime and which are currently in the possession of the State of the Netherlands (Decree establishing the Advisory Committee on the Assessment of Restitution Applications)

Reference

WJZ/2001/45374(8123)

Zoetermeer

16 November 2001

The State Secretary for Education, Culture and Science, F. van der Ploeg,

Acting in accordance with the views of the Council of Ministers;

Having regard to Article 15, third paragraph, of the 1995 Public Records Act;

Herewith decrees as follows:

Article 1

For the purposes of this Decree, the terms below shall be defined as follows:

- a. the Minister: the Minister for Education, Culture and Science;
- b. the Ministry: the Ministry for Education, Culture and Science;
- c. the Committee: the Committee as referred to in Article 2 of this Decree.

Article 2

1. There shall be a Committee whose task is to advise the Minister, at his request, on decisions to be taken concerning applications for the restitution of items of cultural value of which the original owners involuntarily lost possession due to circumstances directly related to the Nazi regime and which are currently in the possession of the State of the Netherlands.
2. A further task of the Committee shall be to issue an opinion, on the Minister's request, on disputes concerning the restitution of items of cultural value between the original owner who, due to circumstances directly related to the Nazi regime, involuntarily lost possession of such an item, or the owner's heirs, and the current possessor which is not the State of the Netherlands.
3. The Minister shall only submit a request for an opinion as referred to in the second paragraph to the Committee if and when the original owner or his heirs and the current possessor of the item in question have jointly asked the Minister to do so.
4. The Committee shall carry out its advisory role as referred to in the first paragraph in accordance with the relevant government policy.
5. The Committee shall carry out its advisory role as referred to in the second paragraph in accordance with the requirements of reasonableness and fairness.

Article 3

1. The Committee shall comprise no more than 7 members, including the chairman and the deputy chairman.
2. Both the chairman and the deputy chairman shall be qualified lawyers (*meester in de rechten*).
3. The Committee shall include at least one member whose expertise on matters concerning World War II constitutes a substantial contribution to the work of the Committee.
4. The Committee shall include at least one member whose expertise on matters concerning art history and museology constitutes a substantial contribution to the work of the Committee.

5. The Minister shall appoint the chairman, the deputy chairman and the other members for a period not exceeding three years. They shall not form part of the Ministry or work in any other capacity under the responsibility of the Minister.
6. The chairman, the deputy chairman and the other members may be reappointed once at most.

Article 4

1. Each request for advice shall be considered by a group of at least three Committee members, to be selected by the chairman, with the proviso that at least the chairman or the deputy chairman shall be involved in the consideration of the request.
2. The Committee may issue further regulations pertaining to the method to be adopted.

Article 5

1. The Minister shall provide the Committee with a Committee Secretariat.
2. The Secretariat shall be headed by the Committee Secretary, who shall be a qualified lawyer (*meester in de rechten*).
3. The Secretary shall be accountable only to the Committee for the work performed for the Committee.

Article 6

1. If required for the execution of its task, the Committee may, at a meeting, hear the person that has submitted a restitution application as referred to in Article 2, first paragraph and a Ministry representative or, as the case may be, the parties whose dispute, as referred to in Article 2, second paragraph, has been submitted to the Committee for advice.
2. If required for the execution of its task, the Committee may directly approach any third parties in order to obtain information, and may invite such third parties to a meeting so as to learn their views.
3. The Minister shall ensure that all documents that the Committee needs in order to execute its task and that are in the Ministry's files are made available to the Committee in time and in full.
4. Each and every officer of the Ministry shall comply with a summons or a request issued by the Committee.
5. The restrictions relevant to the public accessibility of records as referred to in Section 1, subsection c, under 1 and 2 of the 1995 Public Records Act that the Committee needs for the execution of its task and are filed in State Archives shall not be applicable to the Committee.

Article 7

1. Every year the Committee shall report to the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science on the current situation regarding the tasks referred to in Article 2.
2. The first report shall be submitted in January 2003.

Article 8

The members of the Committee shall receive a fee plus reimbursement for travel and subsistence expenses in accordance with the relevant government schemes.

Article 9

The Committee's records shall be transferred to the archives of the Ministry's Cultural Heritage Department after dissolution of the Committee or at such earlier time as may be dictated by circumstances.

Article 10

From the date that this Decree takes effect, the following persons shall be appointed for a period of three years:

- a. J.M. Polak of Ede, chairman
- b. B.J Asscher of Baarn, deputy chairman
- c. Prof. J. Leyten of Nijmegen
- d. E. van Straaten of Beekbergen
- e. Prof. J.Th.M. Bank of Amsterdam
- f. H.M. Verrijn-Stuart of Amsterdam

Article 11

This Decree shall come into effect on the second day after the date of the Government Gazette in which it is published.

Article 12

This Decree shall be cited as the Decree establishing the Advisory Committee on the Assessment of Restitution Applications.

This Decree and the associated explanatory notes will be published in the Government Gazette.

The State Secretary for Education, Culture and Science

[signed]

F. van der Ploeg

Explanatory notes

General

The Ekkart Committee is one of the committees established in the Netherlands since 1997 to carry out research in the extensive field of post-World War II restitutions. The Committee supervises research into the origins of the 'NK collection', i.e. the collection of art objects that were recovered from Germany after World War II and have been held by the State of the Netherlands since then. Given the size of the NK collection, which comprises some 4000 objects, and the nature of the research, which involves tracing transactions that took place more than fifty years ago and of which, in many cases, very few documents have survived, the Ekkart Committee will not be able to finalise its research until the end of 2002.

In addition to supervising the research into the origins of collection items, the Committee is charged with issuing recommendations to the Minister of Education, Culture and Science on the government's restitution policy. The Committee submitted its interim recommendations to me on 26 April 2001. As stated in the accompanying letter, the Committee decided to draw up interim recommendations because in its view the urgency of policy adaptations is such, considering, among other things, the advanced age of some of the interested parties, that they should be implemented before the overall research project has been completed. In formulating its recommendations, the Committee aims to create scope for a more generous restitution policy. In its view, the strictly legal approach as laid down in the government's policy paper of 14 July 2000 is no longer acceptable.

I sent the Cabinet's response to these recommendations to the Speaker of the Lower House of Parliament on 29 June 2001, and a supplementary reaction of the government by letter of 16 November 2001. In its reaction to the Ekkart Committee recommendations, the government has not opted for a purely legal approach to the restitution issue, but rather for a more policy-oriented approach, also in the light of international developments in these matters, in which priority is given to moral rather than strictly legal arguments. This view was expressed, for example, in the outcome of the conference held in Washington in 1998 for a global discussion of World War II assets (known as the 'Washington Principles'). One of these principles is the establishment of "alternative dispute resolution mechanisms for resolving ownership issues." Countries like France and the United Kingdom have implemented this principle and have established committees charged with judging individual applications for restitution.

The establishment of an Advisory Committee in the Netherlands to consider individual applications for restitution is consistent both with the Ekkart Committee recommendations and with the international developments outlined above. The main reason for setting up an Advisory Committee was the need for the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science to decide on applications for restitution in as objective a manner as possible. Since the Minister of Education, Culture and Science, being the possessor/administrator of the NK collection, is directly concerned in the matter, the existence of an advisory committee will enhance the independence of the decision process. By letter of 7 June 2001 the parliamentary Education, Culture and Science Committee expressed its preference for an independent committee.

Based on its own experience, the Ekkart Committee currently expects that the Advisory Committee will be asked to consider 30 to 50 cases relating to objects currently held by the State. There are no indications as yet about the number of applications that might be submitted to the Advisory Committee by private individuals, nor is it clear how many years the Committee is going to need to fulfil its tasks. The figures mentioned seem to point to a term of 3 to 5 years.

Explanatory notes on each article

Article 2

The main task of the Committee is to advise the Minister of Education, Culture and Science, at his request, on individual applications for restitution of items that form part of the NK collection. In

addition, the Minister may also ask for advice on restitution applications that relate to items in the state collection that do not form part of the NK collection but nevertheless came into the possession of the State due to circumstances directly related to the Nazi regime.

Following the example of similar committees abroad and at the express request of the Lower House of Parliament, the Minister may also refer to the Committee disputes between private individuals, provided that the parties involved have made a request to that effect and provided that the dispute concerns an object of which the original owner lost possession involuntarily due to circumstances directly related to the Nazi regime.

The Minister will ask the Committee to give an opinion if and when he receives an application for restitution that complies with the relevant framework conditions. The Minister himself will only directly deal with applications that evidently fall outside the Committee's remit, for example because they do not relate to the restitution of items of cultural value that were transferred within the context of World War II. It has been decided to present the applications to the Committee via the Minister so as to avoid overburdening the Committee with requests that fall outside its mandate.

The Committee's advisory framework corresponds with the relevant outlines of government policy; first and foremost, the general government policy on World War II assets as laid down in the letter issued by the government on 21 March 2000. In addition, the government has issued rules that more specifically concern the restitution of items of cultural value. These rules form part of the policy the government announced to the Lower House of Parliament in its policy paper of 14 July 2000. However, the Ekkart Committee recommendations and the government's response to them have led to major amendments to that policy. The government's letters continue to be effective and, together with the Ekkart Committee recommendations and the government's response to these recommendations, constitute the policy framework within which the Advisory Committee is to operate. It goes without saying that any further recommendations from the Ekkart Committee in the future may cause the government to make adaptations to this policy framework.

The Advisory Committee will judge any application for restitution in the light of this policy framework. It may then conclude that:

- the application, while being covered by the regular legal rules, falls beyond the Advisory Committee's mandate. If so, the Advisory Committee will incorporate this in its opinion to the Minister.
- the application falls within the Advisory Committee's mandate and therefore qualifies for an opinion.

The government also wishes to make available a facility for the settlement of disputes *between* private individuals concerning an object of which the original owner lost possession involuntarily due to circumstances directly related to the Nazi regime. In its assessment of such applications from private individuals the Advisory Committee will be guided by the principles of reasonableness and fairness.

The intervention by the Minister – since it is the Minister who refers disputes between private individuals to the Advisory Committee – is the result of pragmatic considerations. As it is the Minister who is responsible for ensuring that the Advisory Committee receives the support it needs, the Minister must be aware of the number of opinions the Advisory Committee is expected to issue.

Articles 3 and 4

The decisions about the Advisory Committee's size, composition and working method were taken with due regard to the need to balance the requirement of expertise against the requirement of efficiency in the formulation of Committee opinions.

The Advisory Committee is composed in such a way that at least the legal, historical and art history expertise required for the assessment of a restitution application is represented. The

requirement that the chairman and deputy chairman be legal experts stems from the fact that in spite of the choice for a moral policy-oriented approach, legal expertise obviously remains indispensable in the assessment of the laws and regulations involved in applications for restitution. The availability of legal expertise is ensured in all cases, given that no opinion is formulated without the involvement of either the chairman or the deputy chairman.

The intention is for the Advisory Committee to comprise seven members from the time of its inception. It is up to the chairman to decide which particular members, in a specific case, should contribute to the formulation of an opinion. The involvement of a member in a particular application for restitution may influence this decision. The number of members to be involved in the opinion on a particular application will depend on the complexity of the case. As a minimum requirement, each application must be considered by the chairman or the deputy chairman and at least two other committee members.

Article 5

The Minister will provide a Committee Secretariat that is able to give the advisory committee the required level of support. The Committee Secretary must be a qualified lawyer (*meester in de rechten*). In addition, the Secretariat should be able to offer research capacity as well as the required level of administrative and organisational support. The size of the Secretariat will be variable and geared to the Advisory Committee's workload.

Article 6

It is of the utmost importance that the Advisory Committee has access to all the relevant information in drawing up its recommendations: both information from claimants and information provided by the Ministry or third parties.

I have lifted the restrictions on the public accessibility of records filed in State Archives by virtue of Article 15, fifth paragraph of the 1995 Public Archives Act so as to enable the Advisory Committee to gather all the information it needs in the shortest possible time. This obviously only concerns those records that are relevant to the execution of the Advisory Committee's task. The fact that the Committee is allowed to inspect restricted documents does not automatically open up those documents to others as well, given that the members of the Advisory Committee themselves are bound to observe secrecy under Article 2:5 of the General Administrative Law Act regarding information that comes to their knowledge and the confidential nature of which is evident.

Article 10

By the time this Decree establishing the Advisory Committee was signed, the six persons referred to in this Article had already expressed their willingness to become members of the committee. This is why I have provided for their appointment in this Decree. One more member will be appointed (separately) as soon as possible.

The State Secretary for Education, Culture and Science,

[signed]

(F. van der Ploeg)